



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/670,526	09/26/2003	Soichi Kuwahara	SON-2826	6496

23353 7590 01/16/2007
RADER FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC
LION BUILDING
1233 20TH STREET N.W., SUITE 501
WASHINGTON, DC 20036

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, LAM S

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2853

SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
3 MONTHS	01/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/670,526	Applicant(s) KUWAHARA ET AL.	
	Examiner LAM S. NGUYEN	Art Unit 2853	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12/07/06.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 22-38 and 40 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 22-38 and 40 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 26 September 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION***Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114***

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 12/07/2006 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

1. Claims 22-25, 27/22-24, 29/22-24, 30-33, 35/30-32, 36/30-32, 38/30-32, and 40/30-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Silverbrook (WO 02/02330 A1) in view of Murcia et al. (US 6270187) and Kimura et al. (US 6270199).

Referring to claims 22-24, 30-32, 40/30-32:

Silverbrook discloses a liquid discharging method in an apparatus for discharging droplets from a plurality of liquid discharging portions onto a recording medium, the method comprising the steps of:

discharging droplets from the liquid discharging portions to form an actual pattern (FIG. 2);

Art Unit: 2853

obtaining information about a defective liquid discharging portion having discharging failure by checking the discharge states of the droplets discharged from the liquid discharging portions (*FIG. 2: By checking the printed pattern, information about the defective nozzle H is obtained*); and

controlling discharging of droplets from a liquid discharging portion near the defective liquid discharging portion (*FIG. 3: Ink ejection from ink nozzles G and I adjacent to the defective ink nozzle H are controlled*).

- Silverbrook is silent wherein the defective liquid discharging portion is prohibited from discharging and wherein the apparatus comprises a head driver for controlling the driving of the liquid discharging head, an image processing unit that converts externally input image data into head driving data for driving the liquid discharging head and sends the head driving data to the head driver, and a storage section for storing information about a defective liquid discharging portion having discharging failure (**Referring to claims 30-32**) and being provided inside the liquid discharging head, inside the image processing unit, or inside an external control unit (**Referring to claim 35**).

Murcia et al. discloses a printing apparatus that is capable of detecting a defective liquid discharging portion (failed nozzle) and assigning its functions to a near/adjacent liquid discharging portion to print instead of the failed discharging portion. This means that print data to the defective nozzle is transferred to the near/adjacent nozzle and the defective nozzle is no longer used or prohibited for/from printing (*FIG. 9, box 109*), wherein the printing apparatus comprises a head driver for controlling the driving of the liquid discharging head (*FIG. 8, element 74, 78*), an image processing unit (*FIG. 8,*

Art Unit: 2853

element 73) that converts externally input image data (*FIG. 8, element 71*) into head driving data for driving a liquid discharging head (*FIG. 8, elements 20, 20'*) and sends the head driving data to a head driver (*FIG. 8, element 74, 78*), and a storage section (*FIG. 8, elements 72, 75*) for storing information about a defective liquid discharging portion having discharging failure and being provided inside an external control unit (*FIG. 8, element 79*).

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify the correction method disclosed by Silverbrook to prohibit the defective nozzle to print but assign a nearby nozzle to print instead as disclosed by Murcia et al. The motivation for doing so would have been to maintain essentially single-pass operation to avoid lengthening time printing due to multiple-pass operation as taught by Murcia et al. (*Abstract*).

- In addition, Silverbrook also does not disclose wherein controlling discharging droplets onto the recording medium while controlling the discharging direction, wherein each of the liquid discharging portions comprises a liquid chamber containing liquid to be discharged, a plurality of heating elements arranged in a predetermined direction inside the liquid chamber to generate a bubble in the liquid in the liquid chamber by the application of energy so that the liquid is discharged from a liquid discharging outlet, while controlling the discharging direction by forming a difference in the time in which a current is passed through at least one of the heating elements and at least another one of the heating elements so as to control the discharging direction of the liquid discharged from the liquid discharging outlet.

Kimura et al. discloses an ink jet head in a printer for discharging ink droplets on a printing medium to form images, wherein the print head comprises a liquid chamber containing liquid to be discharged, a plurality of heating elements (*FIG. 13A-B, elements 2-2 and 2-1*) arranged in a predetermined direction inside the liquid chamber to generate a bubble in the liquid in the liquid chamber by the application of energy so that the liquid is discharged from a liquid discharging outlet (*FIG. 13A-B*), while controlling the discharging direction by forming a difference in the time in which a current is passed through at least one of the heating elements and at least another one of the heating elements so as to control the discharging direction of the liquid discharged from the liquid discharging outlet (*FIG. 13A-B and column 16, lines 55-63*).

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify the discharge process disclosed by Silverbrook, as modified, to control the discharging direction in a manner disclosed by Kimura et al. The motivation for doing so would have been to stabilize liquid ejection in terms of ejection direction as taught by Kimura et al. (*column 16, lines 55-67*).

- **Silverbrook also discloses the following claimed invention:**

Referring to claims 25, 33: wherein the new droplet discharging signals are generated only when the diameter of the dots formed on the recording medium by the droplets discharged from the liquid discharging portion different from the defective liquid discharging portion takes the minimum value or is close to the minimum value (*FIG. 3 and 5: The dots formed by nozzles G and I having a diameter close to a minimum value corresponding to normal size dots*).

Referring to claims 27/22-24, 29/22-24, 36/30-32, 38/30-32: wherein the discharging failure means that no droplets are discharged from the defective liquid discharging portion or wherein the discharging failure means that the amount of liquid in the droplets discharged from the defective liquid discharging portion is outside an allowable range (*page 3, lines 26-29*).

2. Claims 28/22-24 and 37/30-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Silverbrook (WO 02/02330 A1) in view of Murcia et al. (US 6270187) and Kimura et al. (US 6270199) as applied to claims 22-24 and 30-32, and further in view of Ikeda et al. (US 6309050).

Silverbrook, as modified, discloses the claimed invention as discussed in the second rejection except wherein the discharging failure means that the discharging direction from the defective liquid discharging portion deviates from an allowable range.

Ikeda et al. defines that when a nozzle orifice becomes clogged, the nozzle fails to emit an ink droplet of a predetermined diameter and the emission direction of ink droplets is deviated from an expected position (*column 15, lines 11-17*).

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify the method of obtaining information about a defective nozzle disclosed by Silverbrook, as modified, to include consideration the discharging direction of ink droplets as disclosed by Ikeda et al. since the deviation of the discharging direction produces an unintentional spacing between dots that result in occurrence of a white stripe as taught by Ikeda et al. (*column 15, lines 11-20*).

3. Claims 26/25 and 34/33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Silverbrook (WO 02/02330 A1) in view of Murcia et al. (US 6270187) and Kimura

Art Unit: 2853

et al. (US 6270199), as applied to claims 25 and 33, and further in view of Wen et al. (US 6046822).

Silverbrook, as modified, discloses the claimed invention as discussed above except wherein the new liquid discharging signals are listed in a table beforehand.

Wen et al. discloses a printing apparatus having a plurality of printing elements driven by one of a plurality of liquid discharging signals to eject corresponding ink drops, wherein the plurality of liquid discharging signals are stored in a table beforehand (*FIG. 2*).

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify the printing apparatus disclosed by Silverbrook, as modified, to include a table that lists a plurality of discharging signals as disclosed by Wen et al. The motivation of doing so would have been to accurately place the ink droplets on the printing medium irrespective of physical variabilities between nozzles as taught by Wen et al. (*Abstract*).

4. Claims 26/24 and 34/32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Silverbrook (WO 02/02330 A1) in view of Murcia et al. (US 6270187), Kimura et al. (US 6270199), and Ikeda et al. (US 6309050) as applied to claims 24 and 32, and further in view of Wen et al. (US 6046822).

Silverbrook, as modified, discloses the claimed invention as discussed above except wherein the new liquid discharging signals are listed in a table beforehand.

Wen et al. discloses a printing apparatus having a plurality of printing elements driven by one of a plurality of liquid discharging signals to eject corresponding ink drops,

Art Unit: 2853

wherein the plurality of liquid discharging signals are stored in a table beforehand (*FIG. 2*).

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify the printing apparatus disclosed by Silverbrook, as modified, to include a table that lists a plurality of discharging signals as disclosed by Wen et al. The motivation of doing so would have been to accurately place the ink droplets on the printing medium irrespective of physical variabilities between nozzles as taught by Wen et al. (*Abstract*).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LAM S. NGUYEN whose telephone number is (571)272-2151. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00AM - 3:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, STEPHEN D. MEIER can be reached on (571)272-2149. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

Art Unit: 2853

more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.



LAM SON NGUYEN